

CD87:449 544 Camp Oswald as Agent PI and CS from NW 11/8/69

Thanks to Paul for the clear copy of this SS report, which is much clearer than the original in CD87. If this is your source, then there are duplicate CD87s in the archives.

CD 87 does tell other whole stories, as I see it. It tells us that the Quigley knowledge if not copy had to include this, and what you do not keep in mind is official awareness of that address, which was FBI-visited as regularly as dogs make their rounds. And Banister and who he had been. And others. It is not only NOPD knowledge at all. Even if we assume, as it is not unreasonable to, that the only lit with this address was that pamphlet.

Note that the FBI, which had long since conducted its investigation, had not communicated with the SS on this by 12/4. Note also that the reference to 544 is segregated in a separate paragraph and that in the original someone had put a mark at this point in the left-hand margin...That PO is across the street from 544...

On page 3, middle, paragraph on visit to Dyer: he had much more than one would expect unassisted. Like the Marine record, FBI number (coming from what-an arrest and \$10 fine? There is at least a suggestion the NOPD had FBI data.

Page 4, middle, before assassination, NOPD had knowledge Oswald had been in Cap. Next par, two names obviously from unoriginal source and third name close to Ferrie, Piazza. Why died during JG investigation, air crash, in which the FAA inspector who went after Ferrie also died. Now note that on the afternoon of 11/23. Now please note the ellipsis with which Ferrie is introduced in an investigation of Oswald. Dyer may well have known Ferrie. The language is so indirect, I acknowledge it is subject to varying interpretations, but I take what follows to mean in connection with the August investigation: Ferrie, "whose name came up during the course of this investigation at New Orleans and on whom a separate report ~~xx~~ will be filed...." They could not have anticipated they'd find him in jail, so unless we take this as a declaration of intent, we have to assume there was a Ferrie investigation underway when no one knew where he was and he was known not to be in N.O. Now why would they promise "a separate report" in advance? They could never said they'd include what they got in a pertinent later report, or that they'd communicate the results of an interview, etc. But they say there will be a separate report on Ferrie alone when from the record, they have no reason to believe more than that Oswald and Ferrie were or may have been in the CA at the same time. Dyer knew a lot about Ferrie's plane for so early a date and no official interest in him yet. (and it didn't look like it, but it did fly.) Bottom: by "every effort is being made to determine whether the FPCB" ever had space in 544, what they are really saying is they had no reason to believe it did. This they could have learned by phone and immediately. Well did. Then hid it.

Next page: no address given as on "The Truth About Cuba" and absence of any not indicated. This is the exception. Also, by this time they had three different addresses, all in the block in which I believe they then were, for the same two-bit outfit - and we also have to believe that Alba, who stored their cars, didn't tell them all about Oswald. I believe their offices were then in the Lafayette P.O., and Alba is behind it, and 544 is across a narrow St. to the left. It required no time for "every effort to be made".

Comment on your references in 11/4 ltr: I'd still like the last of the AF correspondence on this....if we can agree on police incompetence in such areas, may I suggest the interpretation above does not reflect inaccuracy? They had quite a file on nothing by a nobody. I have already written Mo again about this. I was able to get nothing in the rest, so I assume the files of the NOPD Intel Unit had been cleansed in anticipation of JG.

You twice refer to JG investigations of this. They did zero, to the best of my knowledge, and didn't even interview Newman, whose office is in their building. I had him talking until Sheriff Reid came in and called him out and shushed him. You have my memo. You are not wrong to rush NOPD single, for you know what they had feds also had. If we learn what they had we learn what feds suppressed, which can tell us not only what it is but what it means.

Where "oul refers to this again 11/3, p. 1, I do not have the recent admissions or correspondence. J admits? Last I had was their refusal as inv. file.

So, aside from the timing of all of this, by the afternoon of 11.25, I think there is other significance indicated above.

On the withhold Ferrie doc, I sent you and Gary copies when I found it in N.O. It is really in the form of a memo by Tom on having seen it by accident. It says that Achmann, Assistant DA, was interviewed, that Jack Martin had mentioned Ferrie to him, after which he recalled his own knowledge, etc. I have mislaid my copy or misfiled it. Sorry I cannot offer you more help in finding it. I think the CD identification was indicated.

I have no record of having Rowley 1/6 ltr. I think part of my wonder is why this list of SSs should be in the front of CD 87 when they are not? It also is loose, added later, not in the binding and without the holes for the binding.

Page 2, par 3: whether or not you are willing to assume such basic checking was not done, please believe me, it wasn't. This is the sort of thing I had been trying to communicate for so long once I detected it. I can add nothing to 196s tenants, but from what I learned there, born out by examination of building, it is likely that all mail was put at the bottom of the steps inside the 554 door. Jack Martin says when there was urban mail (as Curzeds), whoever first saw it grabbed it (1962) because there was the prospect it had money! At that relatively late time JRC were doing little, if anything. After the 10/62 blow, they lost what little hope they had.

Nichols test: I need no xerox, thanks, because it was limited to areas where I'll have no reproduction needs.

Lifton copy of Liebeler 9/6/64 memo copy my copy enclosed, all edges covered. No such things on it as yours has.

I know of no cross-listing of #16-2100s.

Stopping again.

H?